

THE CONGRUENCE SUBGROUP PROPERTY AND BOUNDED GENERATION

DAVE WITTE MORRIS

Lecture II. Proof that $\mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{Z})$ has the Congruence Subgroup Property

Recall. $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is a ring homomorphism, so

$$\varphi_n: \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$$

is a group homomorphism to a finite group. So

$$\Gamma_n := \ker \varphi_n$$

is a (normal) subgroup of finite index in $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{Z})$.

Definition.

- Γ_n is a *principal congruence subgroup* of Γ .
- Subgroups containing Γ_n are *congruence subgroups* of Γ .

These are the obvious subgroups of finite index in Γ .

Theorem (Bass-Lazard-Serre (1964), Mennicke (1965)).
Every finite-index subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{Z})$ is a congruence subgroup.

For short, we say $\mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{Z})$ has the *Congruence Subgroup Property* (“CSP”).

The remainder of this lecture is a proof of the theorem.

1. ELEMENTARY GENERATORS

Let H be a subgroup of finite index in Γ . We wish to show H contains some Γ_n .

Lemma. We may assume $H \triangleleft \Gamma$.

Proof. H contains a normal subgroup N of Γ , with $|\Gamma : N| < \infty$. □

Notation.

- $e_{1,2}(n) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & n & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ “elementary matrix”
- $E_n =$ smallest normal subgroup of Γ that contains $e_{1,2}(n)$ (so $e_{i,j}(n) \in E_n$ whenever $i \neq j$).

Lemma. It suffices to show $E_n = \Gamma_n$.

I.e., we wish to show $\Gamma_n/E_n = \{1\}$.

2. MENNICKE SYMBOLS

Key Lemma (Stable range SR_2). $\begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})_n & & 0 \\ & 0 & \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \twoheadrightarrow \frac{\Gamma_n}{E_n}$.

I.e., $g \in \Gamma_n \implies \exists x, y \in E_n, xgy \in \begin{bmatrix} * & * & 0 \\ * & * & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$;

row and column operations reduce g to 2×2 .

Proof. Note:

$$\gcd(k, \ell, m) = 1 \implies \exists \ell' \equiv \ell \pmod{nk}, \gcd(\ell', m) = 1.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * \\ * & * & * \\ k & \ell & m \end{bmatrix} &\rightsquigarrow \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * \\ * & * & * \\ k & \ell' & m \end{bmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * \\ * & * & * \\ n & \ell' & m \end{bmatrix} \\ &\xrightarrow{e} \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * \\ * & * & * \\ n & \ell' & 1 \end{bmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * \\ * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{e^{-1}} \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * \\ * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\rightsquigarrow \begin{bmatrix} * & * & 0 \\ * & * & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } W = W_n(\mathbb{Z}) &= \left\{ (a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid \begin{array}{l} a, b \text{ rel prime} \\ a \equiv 1 \pmod{n} \\ b \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \{ \text{1st rows of elements of } \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \}_n. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Define } \begin{bmatrix} \\ \end{bmatrix} : W \rightarrow \Gamma_n/E_n \text{ by } \begin{bmatrix} b \\ a \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} a & b & 0 \\ * & * & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We wish to show $\begin{bmatrix} b \\ a \end{bmatrix} = 1$.

Axioms.

- $\begin{bmatrix} \\ \end{bmatrix}$ is well def'd (easy) and onto (“stable range”).
- (MS1) $\begin{bmatrix} b + t_1 a \\ a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b \\ a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b \\ a + t_2 b \end{bmatrix}$.
($t_1 \in n\mathbb{Z}, t_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$)
- (MS2a) $\begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_2 \\ a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 b_2 \\ a \end{bmatrix}$ (we are in $\mathrm{SL}_3!$).

Lemma. Γ acts on Γ_n/E_n by conjugation (since $\Gamma_n, E_n \triangleleft \Gamma$). This action is trivial (since $\Gamma = E_1$).

Proof. We wish to show Γ_1 is trivial on Γ_n/E_n ;
equivalently, Γ_n is trivial on Γ_1/E_n .

Let e be a generator of Γ_1 ; may assume $e = e_{1,3}(1)$.

Let $g \in \Gamma_n$; may assume $g \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})_n$.

Then

$$g^{-1}eg \in \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & n\mathbb{Z} \\ & 1 & n\mathbb{Z} \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \subset E_n. \quad \square$$

Proof of MS2a. Since $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{\pm 1} \in E_1$ (**verify!!**),

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} b' \\ a \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} a & b' & 0 \\ c' & d' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\equiv \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b' & 0 \\ c' & d' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} d' & 0 & -c' \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -b' & 0 & a \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} b \\ a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b' \\ a \end{bmatrix} &\equiv \begin{bmatrix} a & b & 0 \\ * & * & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d' & 0 & -c' \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -b' & 0 & a \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} ad' & b & -ac' \\ * & * & * \\ -b' & 0 & a \end{bmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & b & 0 \\ * & * & * \\ -b' & 0 & a \end{bmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & b & 0 \\ 0 & * & * \\ 0 & bb' & a \end{bmatrix} \\ &\rightsquigarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & * \\ 0 & bb' & a \end{bmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \begin{bmatrix} a & bb' & 0 \\ * & * & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b \\ a \end{bmatrix}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

3. MENNICKE SYMBOLS ARE TRIVIAL

Proposition. $\begin{bmatrix} b \\ a \end{bmatrix} = 1$.

Lemma. $b \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{a} \implies \begin{bmatrix} b \\ a \end{bmatrix} = 1$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} b \\ a \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} b - ba \\ a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b(1 - a) \\ a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (\pm 1 + ka)(1 - a) \\ a \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \pm(1 - a) + k(1 - a)a \\ a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \pm(1 - a) \\ a \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \pm(1 - a) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 1. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Remark. For Mennicke symbols over a general commutative ring R , the argument shows that if $b \equiv u \pmod{a}$, and u is a unit in R , then $\begin{bmatrix} b \\ a \end{bmatrix} = 1$.

Corollary. $\begin{bmatrix} b \\ a \end{bmatrix}^{\phi(a)} = 1$, where ϕ is the Euler totient function; i.e., $\phi(a)$ is the number of units in the ring $\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Since $b^{\phi(a)} \equiv 1 \pmod{a}$, combining (MS2a) with the lemma implies

$$\begin{bmatrix} b \\ a \end{bmatrix}^{\phi(a)} = \begin{bmatrix} b^{\phi(a)} \\ a \end{bmatrix} = 1. \quad \square$$

Idea of proof of the proposition. We wish to show that no prime p divides the order of the element $\begin{bmatrix} b \\ a \end{bmatrix}$ of Γ_n/E_n .

For simplicity, let us assume p is odd, and does not divide n .

Then, since a and b are relatively prime, it is easy to find:

- some $b' \equiv b \pmod{na}$, such that $p \nmid b'$, and
- some $a' \equiv a \pmod{b'}$, such that $p \nmid a' - 1$.

Furthermore, by Dirichlet's Theorem on primes in arithmetic progressions, we may assume a' is prime, so $p \nmid a' - 1 = \phi(a')$. Then we conclude, from the corollary, that

$$p \nmid \text{order of } \begin{bmatrix} b \\ a \end{bmatrix} \text{ in } \Gamma_n/E_n. \quad \square$$

Remark. The above argument assumes that p is odd, but it can easily be modified to show that if n is not divisible by 2, then the order of $\begin{bmatrix} b \\ a \end{bmatrix}$ is not divisible by 2. Simply arrange that $4 \nmid a' - 1$, and conclude that $\begin{bmatrix} b \\ a \end{bmatrix}^{\phi(a')/2} = 1$, by using the fact that $\begin{bmatrix} b \\ a \end{bmatrix} = 1$ when $b \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{a}$, not just when $b \equiv 1 \pmod{a}$. The details are left as an exercise.

In the case where $p \mid n$, the proposition can be proved by a similar argument, but with a and b interchanged. This is enabled by the following additional axiom:

Axiom (MS2b). $\begin{bmatrix} b \\ a_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b \\ a_1 a_2 \end{bmatrix}$.

When $n = 1$, (MS2b) follows immediately from (MS2a) and the following interesting observation. The general case is not terribly difficult either (see [1, pp. 312–313]).

Kervaire Reciprocity. If $n = 1$, then $\begin{bmatrix} b \\ a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$.

Proof. $\begin{bmatrix} b \\ a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b - a \\ a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b - a \\ b - a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$. \square

Now, to complete the proof of the proposition (for odd p), use Dirichlet's Theorem to arrange that $b' = nq$, where q is prime, and $q \nmid n$. Then, since $a' \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, we have $(a')^{\phi(a)} \equiv 1 \pmod{b'}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Bass: *Algebraic K-Theory*. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1968. MR 0249491 (40 #2736)
- [2] H. Bass, M. Lazard, J.-P. Serre: Sous-groupes d'indice fini dans $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 70 (1964) 385–392. MR 0161913 (28 #5117)
- [3] H. Bass, J. Milnor, J.-P. Serre: Solution of the congruence subgroup problem for SL_n ($n \geq 3$) and Sp_{2n} ($n \geq 2$), *Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math.* 33 (1967) 59–137. MR 0244257 (39 #5574)
- [4] J. L. Mennicke: Finite factor groups of the unimodular group. *Ann. of Math.* (2) 81 (1965) 31–37. MR 0171856 (30 #2083)
- [5] J.-P. Serre: Le problème des groupes de congruence pour SL_2 , *Ann. of Math.* (2) 92 (1970) 489–527. MR 0272790 (42 #7671)
- [6] B. Sury: *The Congruence Subgroup Problem. An elementary approach aimed at applications*. Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 2003. ISBN 81-85931-38-0, MR 1978430 (2005g:20082)