

Real representations of $\mathfrak{sp}(n)$ have \mathbb{Q} -forms

Dave Witte Morris

Department of Mathematics
Oklahoma State University
Stillwater, OK 74078

dwitte@math.okstate.edu

<http://www.math.okstate.edu/~dwitte>

Abstract. Suppose $\{A_1, \dots, A_\ell\}$ is a basis (over \mathbb{R}) of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of $m \times m$ matrices. If all the entries of each matrix A_1, \dots, A_ℓ are rational, then it is easy to see that the corresponding structure constants of \mathfrak{g} are rational; that is, $[A_i, A_j] = \sum_k \alpha_{ij}^k A_k$, with $\alpha_{ij}^k \in \mathbb{Q}$. The

converse is not usually true, but it does hold (after changing to a different basis of \mathbb{R}^m) if \mathfrak{g} is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sp}(n)$, for some n .

For Lie algebras other than $\mathfrak{sp}(n)$, it would be interesting to understand which choices of the structure constants α_{ij}^k force A_1, \dots, A_ℓ to be (similar to) rational matrices. This is relevant to the study of lattices in certain 2-step nilpotent Lie groups.

Eg. $f(x) = x^2 + 1$

- is irreducible over \mathbb{R} (can't be factored)
- is reducible over \mathbb{C} (can be factored)

$$f(x) = (x - i)(x + i)$$

Analogue in representation theory.

(All rep'n's are finite dimensional.)

$$\text{Eg. } G = \text{SO}(2) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

has obvious representation on \mathbb{R}^2 .

- This is irreducible. (No line is inv't.)
- Complexification is reducible.

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C}^2$$

$\{(z, iz)\}$ is an invariant subspace:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z \\ iz \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} (\cos \theta)z + (\sin \theta)(iz) \\ (-\sin \theta)z + (\cos \theta)(iz) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} (\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)z \\ i(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} z' \\ iz' \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

We consider $G =$ simple group.

Prop. $G = \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ (or any \mathbb{R} -split group)
 \Rightarrow every irred \mathbb{R} -rep'n of G remains irred over \mathbb{C} .

Proof. Show every \mathbb{C} -rep'n W of G has a \mathbb{R} -form.

- $A = \{\text{diagonal matrices}\}$
- $\lambda =$ highest weight of W (w.r.t. A)

Every root of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is \mathbb{R} -valued on A (A is \mathbb{R} -split)

$$\Rightarrow \lambda(A) \subset \mathbb{R}$$

\Rightarrow we can form **real** highest-weight module V

$$\Rightarrow W = V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}. \quad \square$$

At opposite extreme, assume G is **compact**.

E.g., $G = \text{SO}(n)$.

Lem. The following are equivalent:

- every irred \mathbb{R} -rep'n of G remains irred over \mathbb{C}
- $\otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}: \{\mathbb{R}\text{-rep'n's of } G\} \rightarrow \{\mathbb{C}\text{-rep'n's of } G\}$
is an isomorphism of categories
- every \mathbb{C} -rep'n of G has a \mathbb{R} -form
(i.e., $\otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ is onto)
- $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \Rightarrow \rho(G) \subset \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$
after a change of basis
- \forall irred \mathbb{R} -rep'n of G , $\text{End}_G(V) \cong \mathbb{R}$

Proof. ($a \Rightarrow c$): $W_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ is reducible:

$$W_{\mathbb{R}} = V_1 \oplus V_2 = V_1 \oplus iV_1, \quad \text{so } W = V_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}.$$

($a \Leftrightarrow e$): Schur's Lemma.

V irred/ $\mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \text{End}_G(V) = \text{div'n alg} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \text{ or } \mathbb{H}$

$$\Rightarrow \text{End}_G(V_{\mathbb{C}}) = \text{End}_G(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$$

$$\cong \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}, \text{ or } \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Only \mathbb{C} is a division alg: $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ irred $\Leftrightarrow \text{End}_G(V) = \mathbb{R}$

($b \Leftrightarrow c$): $\otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ is always one-to-one. \square

Assume G is **compact**. E.g., $G = \text{SO}(n)$.

Lem. W has \mathbb{R} -form $\Leftrightarrow \exists G$ -inv conj $\tau: W \rightarrow W$.

Cor. If W has a \mathbb{R} -form, then $\overline{W} \cong W$.

Defn. \overline{W} = same set of vectors as W ,
but different scalar multiplication: $\alpha * v = \overline{\alpha}v$.

Rem. For $W = \mathbb{C}^n$, $\rho(G) \subset \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$,
 $\overline{\rho}(g) = \overline{\rho(g)}$ (conjugate the matrix entries)

Proof of Cor. $\tau: W \rightarrow \overline{W}$ is isomorphism. \square

Lem. $-(\text{highest weight of } W)$ is a weight of \overline{W} .

Proof. $\overline{\text{highest wt of } W}$ is a wt of \overline{W} .

\mathfrak{t} = maximal torus of \mathfrak{g}

$$\Rightarrow \lambda(\mathfrak{t}) \subset i\mathbb{R} \quad (\text{bcs } \mathfrak{g} \text{ is cpct})$$

$$\Rightarrow \overline{\lambda}(t) = \overline{\lambda(t)} = -\lambda(t). \quad \square$$

Lem. W has \mathbb{R} -form $\Leftrightarrow \exists G$ -inv conj $\tau: W \rightarrow W$.

• τ is conjugate-linear

$$\tau(\alpha_1 w_1 + \alpha_2 w_2) = \overline{\alpha_1} \tau(w_1) + \overline{\alpha_2} \tau(w_2).$$

• $\tau^2 = \text{Id}$.

• $\tau(gw) = g \tau(w)$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Wolog $W = \mathbb{C}^n$, $\rho(G) \subset \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$.

$$\overline{gw} = \overline{g} \overline{w} = g \overline{w} \quad \Rightarrow \text{define } \tau(w) = \overline{w}.$$

(\Leftarrow) $(\tau^2 - 1) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow$ eigenvalues = ± 1 .

$V_+ = +1$ -eigenspace, $V_- = -1$ -eigenspace

$$W = V_+ \oplus V_- = V_+ \oplus iV_+ \quad \Rightarrow W = V_+ \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}. \quad \square$$

Assume G is **compact**. E.g., $G = \text{SO}(n)$.

Lem. If W has a \mathbb{R} -form, then $\overline{W} \cong W$.

Lem. $-(\text{highest weight of } W)$ is a weight of \overline{W} .

Rem. W_λ has a \mathbb{R} -form

$$\Rightarrow -\lambda \text{ is a wt of } W_\lambda \quad (\text{lowest weight})$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{w}_0(\lambda) = -\lambda \quad (\mathbf{w}_0 = \text{long el't Weyl grp})$$

Cor. If every \mathbb{C} -rep'n of G has a \mathbb{R} -form,

then $\mathbf{w}_0(\lambda) = -\lambda$, $\forall \lambda$

(\Leftrightarrow each $g \in G$ is conj to its inverse g^{-1}).

Eg. $\exists \mathbb{C}$ -rep'n of $\text{SU}(n)$ with no \mathbb{R} -form ($n > 2$).

$$\begin{bmatrix} \omega_1 & & & \\ & \omega_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \omega_n \end{bmatrix} \not\sim \begin{bmatrix} 1/\omega_1 & & & \\ & 1/\omega_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$$

Rem. $\mathbf{w}_0 = -\text{Id}$ except: type A_n, D_{odd}, E_6 .

(\mathbf{w}_0 is the "opposition involution.")

Prop. Every \mathbb{C} -rep'n of G has a \mathbb{R} -form if
 $\mathbf{w}_0(\lambda) = -\lambda$, $\forall \lambda$ and G is adjoint.

Proof. We have a representation of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ on \mathbb{C}^n .

• Let $\mathfrak{g}_S \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a split \mathbb{R} -form,

• $\sigma_G =$ conjugation on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ corresp to \mathfrak{g} ,

• $\sigma_S =$ conjugation on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ corresp to \mathfrak{g}_S .

Wolog $\rho(\mathfrak{g}_S) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$, so $\overline{g} \overline{w} = \sigma_S(g) \cdot \overline{w}$.

$\sigma_G \circ \sigma_S$ is \mathbb{C} -linear auto of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$

$$\Rightarrow \sigma_G \circ \sigma_S = \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} a, \exists a \in G_{\mathbb{C}} \quad (\text{outer aut??})$$

Define $\tau: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ by $\tau(w) = a \cdot \overline{w}$.

• $\tau(gw) = a \sigma_S(g) \overline{w} = \sigma_G(g) a \overline{w} = g \tau(w)$

• τ is conjugate-linear.

• $\tau^2(w) = a \cdot \overline{\tau(w)} = a \cdot \overline{a \cdot \overline{w}} = a \cdot \sigma_S(a) \cdot w = w$.

$$\text{Id} = (\sigma_G)^2 = \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(a \cdot \sigma_S(a))$$

$$\Rightarrow a \cdot \sigma_S(a) \in Z(G) = \{e\}. \quad \square$$

Note. Proof shows W_λ has \mathbb{R} -form if

$$\mathbf{w}_0(\lambda) = -\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda \in \langle \text{roots} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}} \quad (\mathbb{Z}\text{-span}).$$

Prop (Tits). Assume $\mathbf{w}_0(\lambda) = -\lambda$.

Write $\lambda = \sum m_\alpha \cdot \alpha$, linear comb of simple roots.

- $\sum m_\alpha \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ ($2\lambda \in \langle \text{roots} \rangle \Rightarrow m_\alpha \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$).
- W_λ has a \mathbb{R} -form iff $\sum m_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Eg. Type B_n $\text{SO}(2n+1)$.

$$\lambda_\ell = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \cdots + (\ell-1)\alpha_{\ell-1} + \ell(\alpha_\ell + \cdots + \alpha_n) \quad (\ell < n)$$

$$\lambda_n = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \cdots + n\alpha_n)$$

λ_n has a \mathbb{R} -form $\Leftrightarrow n \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$

\Leftrightarrow every \mathbb{C} -rep'n of $\text{SO}(2n+1)$ has a \mathbb{R} -form.

Eg. Type C_n cpct symplectic group $\text{Sp}(2n)$.

$$\lambda_\ell = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \cdots + (\ell-1)\alpha_{\ell-1} + \ell\alpha_\ell + \cdots + \ell\alpha_{n-1} + \frac{\ell}{2}\alpha_n$$

$\exists \mathbb{R}$ -form $\Leftrightarrow \ell$ even.

Not all \mathbb{C} -rep'ns have a \mathbb{R} -form.

$G =$ compact, simple Lie group.

Tits: Every \mathbb{C} -rep'n of \mathfrak{g} has a \mathbb{R} -form $\Leftrightarrow \langle \times \times \times \times \rangle$.

Eg. $f(x) = x^2 + 1$

- is irreducible over \mathbb{R}
- but reducible over \mathbb{C}

Eg. $f(x) = x^2 - 3$

- is irreducible over \mathbb{Q}
- but reducible over \mathbb{R} $(x - \sqrt{3})(x + \sqrt{3})$

Study the field extension \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q} , instead of \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R} .

Choose basis E_1, \dots, E_n of \mathfrak{g} ,

such that the structure constants belong to \mathbb{Q} ,

$$\text{i.e., } [E_k, E_\ell] = \sum \alpha_{k,\ell}^j E_j \quad \text{with } \alpha_{k,\ell}^j \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Then \mathbb{Q} -span(E_1, \dots, E_n) is a Lie algebra over \mathbb{Q} ;

it is a \mathbb{Q} -form of \mathfrak{g} .

$\exists \mathbb{Q}$ -form of \mathfrak{g} : $\langle x_\alpha - x_{-\alpha}, i(x_\alpha + x_{-\alpha}), ih_\alpha^* \rangle$.

Defn. \mathbb{Q} -Lie alg $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{Q}$ is a \mathbb{Q} -form of \mathfrak{g} if $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{Q} \otimes_\mathbb{Q} \mathbb{R} \cong \mathfrak{g}$.

Defn. $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{Q}$ is \mathbb{R} -universal:

every \mathbb{R} -rep'n of $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{Q}$ has a \mathbb{Q} -form.

- $\rho: \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow \rho(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{Q}) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{Q})$
after a change of basis in \mathbb{R}^n .

Ques. Does \mathfrak{g} have an \mathbb{R} -universal \mathbb{Q} -form?

Ques. Is every \mathbb{Q} -form \mathbb{R} -universal?

Ques. Which \mathbb{Q} -forms are \mathbb{R} -universal?

Last one is still open — I plan to work on it.

Lem. The following are equivalent:

- every irred \mathbb{Q} -rep of $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{Q}$ remains irred over \mathbb{R}
- $\otimes_\mathbb{Q} \mathbb{R}: \{\mathbb{Q}\text{-rep'ns of } \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{Q}\} \rightarrow \{\mathbb{R}\text{-rep'ns of } \mathfrak{g}\}$
is an isomorphism of categories
- every \mathbb{R} -rep'n of $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{Q}$ has a \mathbb{Q} -form
(i.e., $\otimes_\mathbb{Q} \mathbb{R}$ is onto)
- $\rho: \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow \rho(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{Q}) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{Q})$
after a change of basis
- \forall irred \mathbb{Q} -rep'n of $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{Q}$, $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{Q}}(V) \otimes_\mathbb{Q} \mathbb{R}$
is a division algebra.

Rem. W_λ has a \mathbb{Q} -form if $\lambda \in \langle \text{roots} \rangle_\mathbb{Z}$

and $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{Q}$ splits over a quadratic ext'n of \mathbb{Q} .

(Same proof as for \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R} .)

Geometric motivation.

Thm (Malcev). $N =$ simply conn nilp Lie grp.
 N has a lattice subgrp (Γ discrete, N/Γ cpct)
 $\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{n}$ has a \mathbb{Q} -form.

Easiest case: N is 2-step nilpotent ($N/Z(N)$ abel).

Construction. Given

- \mathbb{R} -subspace $Z \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$,
- inner product on $\mathfrak{so}(n)$:

$$\langle z_1 | z_2 \rangle = -\text{trace}(z_1 z_2).$$

Let $\mathfrak{n} = \mathbb{R}^n \oplus Z$, with $Z \subset \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{n})$:

- $[x, y] \in Z$, defined by $\langle [x, y] | z \rangle = z(x) \cdot y$.

\mathfrak{n} has a \mathbb{Q} -form

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists \text{ bases } x_1, \dots, x_n \text{ of } \mathbb{R}^n, z_1, \dots, z_p \text{ of } Z, \\ z_i(x_j) \cdot x_k \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

I.e., $z_i \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ — exactly our question.

More on this tomorrow in Pat Eberlein's talk?

Ques. Does \mathfrak{g} have an \mathbb{R} -universal \mathbb{Q} -form?

Thm (Raghunathan, Eberlein, Pink-Prasad).

Yes, the “standard” \mathbb{Q} -form is \mathbb{R} -universal:

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \langle x_{\alpha} - x_{-\alpha}, i(x_{\alpha} + x_{-\alpha}), ih_{\alpha}^* \rangle.$$

Proof (Pink-Prasad).

- $V =$ irred \mathbb{Q} -rep'n of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$.
- $D = \text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}}(V) =$ division algebra

$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ splits over $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ (bcs $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}(i)} \supset$ Chev. basis)

$\Rightarrow D$ splits over $\mathbb{Q}(i)$

$$\text{(i.e., } D \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(i) \cong \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{Q}(i))$$

and D is a quaternion algebra (deg. 2)

$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is (quasi-)split over \mathbb{Q}_p (for all $p \neq 2$)

$\Rightarrow D$ splits over \mathbb{Q}_p

V is reducible over $\mathbb{R} \Rightarrow D$ splits over \mathbb{R}

$\Rightarrow D$ splits at *all* places $\Rightarrow D$ splits over \mathbb{Q} .

$\rightarrow \leftarrow$ ($D =$ division algebra) \square

Ques. Is every \mathbb{Q} -form \mathbb{R} -universal?

Prop. Every \mathbb{Q} -form of \mathfrak{g} is \mathbb{R} -universal if
 $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(n)$ or $\mathfrak{so}(8n \pm 3)$
 or any exceptional, except perhaps E_6 .

Note. Although not obvious, every \mathbb{Q} -form of $\mathfrak{sp}(n)$ or of $\mathfrak{so}(2n+1)$ splits over some quadratic extension of \mathbb{Q} .

Complement. There exists a \mathbb{Q} -form of \mathfrak{g} that

- is **not** \mathbb{R} -universal, and
- splits over some quadratic extension of \mathbb{Q}

\Leftrightarrow either

- $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{su}(2n)$, with $2n \geq 4$, or
- $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{so}(n)$, with $n \not\equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$.

Proof that every \mathbb{Q} -form of $\mathfrak{sp}(n)$ is \mathbb{R} -universal.

Spse \exists irred \mathbb{Q} -rep'n V of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, s.t. $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ is red:

$$V_{\mathbb{R}} = X \oplus Y.$$

Then $X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ and $Y \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ are irred

(bcs $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ splits over quadratic extension).

Let $\lambda =$ highest weight of $X_{\mathbb{C}}$

$$= \sum m_j \cdot \alpha_j \quad (\text{lin comb of simple roots}).$$

Fundamental weights of $\mathfrak{sp}(n)$ (type C_n):

$$\lambda_{\ell} = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \dots + (\ell - 1)\alpha_{\ell-1} \\ + \ell\alpha_{\ell} + \ell\alpha_{\ell+1} + \dots + \ell\alpha_{n-1} + \frac{\ell}{2}\alpha_n.$$

$\Rightarrow m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$X_{\mathbb{C}}$ has \mathbb{R} -form (i.e., X) $\Rightarrow \sum m_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ [Tits]

$\Rightarrow m_n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$\Rightarrow \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ -span(roots)

$\Rightarrow X_{\mathbb{C}}$ has a \mathbb{Q} -form (as for \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}).

$V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} = X \oplus Y \cong (X_{\mathbb{Q}} \oplus Y_{\mathbb{Q}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$

$\Rightarrow V \cong X_{\mathbb{Q}} \oplus Y_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is reducible. $\rightarrow \leftarrow \square$

References

P. Eberlein: Rational approximation in compact Lie groups and their Lie algebras (preprint, 2000).

J. E. Humphreys: *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*, 3rd printing. Springer, New York, 1972, 1980.

D. Morris: Real representations of semisimple Lie algebras have \mathbb{Q} -forms, to appear in S. G. Dani and G. Prasad, eds.: proceedings of the conference Algebraic Groups and Arithmetic (Mumbai, India, 17-22 December 2001) in honor of M. S. Raghunathan's 60th birthday.

D. Morris: *Introduction to Arithmetic Groups*, in preparation. (Available from author's web page.)

V. Platonov and A. Rapinchuk: *Algebraic Groups and Number Theory*, Academic Press, Boston, 1994.

M. S. Raghunathan: Arithmetic lattices in semisimple groups, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.)* 91 (1982) 133–138.

J. Tits: Représentations linéaires irréductibles d'un groupe réductif sur un corps quelconque, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 247 (1971) 196–220.