

**Q-forms of real representations  
of compact semisimple Lie groups**  
(after Raghunathan and Eberlein)

Dave Witte

*Department of Mathematics  
Oklahoma State University  
Stillwater, OK 74078*

*Notation.*

- $\mathfrak{g}$  = real semisimple Lie algebra  
(can also state results for  $G$ )
- $W$  = (finite dim'l)  $\mathbb{C}$ -representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$
- $V$  = (finite dim'l)  $\mathbb{R}$ -representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$

*Defn.*  $\mathbb{R}$ -form of  $W$  =  $\mathbb{R}$ -subspace  $V$  of  $W$ , s.t.

- $V$  is  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant;
- $V$  =  $\mathbb{R}$ -span of  $\mathbb{C}$ -basis of  $W$   
 $\Leftrightarrow W = V \oplus iV$   
 $\Leftrightarrow W \cong V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \quad =: V_{\mathbb{C}}$

**Prop.**  $\mathfrak{g}$   $\mathbb{R}$ -split

(i.e.,  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}$ -span of Chev. basis of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ )  
 $\Rightarrow$  every  $\mathbb{C}$ -repn of  $\mathfrak{g}$  has a  $\mathbb{R}$ -form.

*Proof.* Wma  $W$  irred (bcs comp'ly red'ble).

$\lambda$  = highest weight of  $W$ .

$v \in W_{\lambda}$ .

$\lambda(\mathfrak{h}) \subset \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})v$  is proper  $\mathbb{R}$ -submodule.  $\square$

*Notation.* Chevalley basis of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ :

$$\{h_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \cup \{x_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Phi^+}$$

- $h_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$ ;
- $h_{\alpha}^* = 2h_{\alpha}/\kappa(h_{\alpha}, h_{\alpha})$ ;
- $x_{\alpha} \in (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})_{\alpha}$ ;
- $\alpha(t) = \kappa(t, h_{\alpha}), \forall t \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$ ;
- $[x_{\alpha}, x_{\beta}] = \begin{cases} N_{\alpha, \beta} x_{\alpha + \beta} & \text{if } \alpha + \beta \in \Phi, \\ -h_{\alpha}^* & \text{if } \alpha + \beta = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \neq \alpha + \beta \notin \Phi. \end{cases}$

**Cor.**  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  has a  $\mathbb{R}$ -form  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ , s.t.

every  $\mathbb{C}$ -repn of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  has a  $\mathbb{R}$ -form.

*Rem.* Today: replace  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$   
(especially when  $\mathfrak{g}$  is compact).

**Lem** (Schur).  $V$  irred  $\Rightarrow$

- $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V) \cong \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \text{ or } \mathbb{H}$ .
- $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V) \cong \mathbb{R} \Leftrightarrow V_{\mathbb{C}}$  is irred.

*Proof.*  $V$  irred  $\Leftrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V)$  is division algebra.

The only div algs over  $\mathbb{R}$  are  $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ , and  $\mathbb{H}$ .

$\text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V) = \text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$

- $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}$  div alg
- $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$  not div alg
- $\mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \cong \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{C})$  not div alg  $\square$

**Lem.** (a) Every  $\mathbb{C}$ -repn  $W$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  has a  $\mathbb{R}$ -form  
 $\Leftrightarrow$  (b)  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$  is irred,  $\forall$  irred  $\mathbb{R}$ -repn  $V$   
 $\Leftrightarrow$  (c)  $W|_{\mathbb{R}}$  is reducible,  $\forall$   $\mathbb{C}$ -repn  $W$

*Proof.* (a  $\Rightarrow$  b)  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$  reducible  
 $\Rightarrow V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \cong W_1 \oplus W_2$   
 $\cong (V_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}) \oplus (V_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})$   
 $\cong (V_1 \oplus V_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$   
 $\Rightarrow V \cong V_1 \oplus V_2$  is reducible.  $\rightarrow \leftarrow$

(a  $\Rightarrow$  c)  $\mathbb{R}$ -form of  $W$  is a  $\mathbb{R}$ -submodule.

(b  $\Rightarrow$  a) Wma  $W$  irred.

$W \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$  reducible  
 $\Rightarrow W|_{\mathbb{R}}$  reducible (c)  
 $\Rightarrow W|_{\mathbb{R}} \cong V_1 \oplus V_2$   
 $\Rightarrow W = V_1 \oplus iV_1$

so  $V_1$  is a  $\mathbb{R}$ -form of  $W$ .  $\square$

*Eg.* The 2D repn of  $SU(2)$  has **no**  $\mathbb{R}$ -form.

**Analogously:**

- $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q}$ -form of  $\mathfrak{g}$   
 $= \mathbb{Q}$ -subalgebra,  $\mathbb{Q}$ -span of  $\mathbb{R}$ -basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$
- $\mathbb{Q}$ -form of  $V = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -inv,  $\mathbb{Q}$ -span of  $\mathbb{R}$ -basis

**Lem.** Every  $\mathbb{R}$ -repn  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  has a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -form  
 $\Leftrightarrow V_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$  is irred,  $\forall$  irred  $\mathbb{Q}$ -repn  $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$   
 $\Leftrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}}(V_{\mathbb{Q}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}, \forall$  irred  $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$

**Prop.**  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$   $\mathbb{Q}$ -split (i.e.,  $\mathbb{Q}$ -span of Chev. basis)  
 $\Rightarrow$  every  $\mathbb{R}$ -repn of  $\mathfrak{g}$  has a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -form.

**Cor.**  $\mathfrak{g}$  split  $\Rightarrow$

$\exists \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , s.t. every  $\mathbb{R}$ -repn of  $\mathfrak{g}$  has a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -form.

**Thm** (Raghunathan, Eberlein).  $\mathfrak{g}$  compact  $\Rightarrow$   
 $\exists \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , s.t. every  $\mathbb{R}$ -repn of  $\mathfrak{g}$  has a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -form.

$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q}$ -span of  $\{ih_{\alpha}, x_{\alpha} + x_{-\alpha}, i(x_{\alpha} - x_{-\alpha})\}$ .

**Cor.**  $\forall \mathfrak{g}, \exists \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , s.t. every  $\mathbb{R}$ -repn of  $\mathfrak{g}$  has  $\mathbb{Q}$ -form.

**Thm** (Raghunathan). Assume

- $\mathfrak{g}$  compact;
- $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}[i]$ -split;
- longest el't of Weyl grp of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is def'd over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Then every  $\mathbb{R}$ -repn of  $\mathfrak{g}$  has a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -form.

*Rem.* The obvious compact  $\mathbb{Q}$ -form is  $\mathbb{Q}[i]$ -split, and every el't of the Weyl group is def'd over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

*Eg.*  $\exists \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}, W$ , s.t.

- $W$  has a  $\mathbb{R}$ -form;
- $W$  has a  $\mathbb{Q}[i]$ -form;
- $W$  does **not** have a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -form.

Can take  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  (split) or  $\mathfrak{so}(8)$  (compact).

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ : in alg'ic terms,  $\exists$  quat. alg  $D$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , s.t.  $D$  is div alg, but splits over  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ .

Concrete construction: Let  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q}$ -span of  
 $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{3} \\ \sqrt{3} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$   
 $\mathbb{R}$ -basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , brackets in  $\mathbb{Q} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -form.

2D real repn of  $\mathfrak{g}$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$

$\Rightarrow \exists g \in GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ , s.t.  $g^{-1} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}} g \subset \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{Q})$ .

$\Rightarrow g^{-1} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}} g = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{Q})$ .

El'ts of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  have nonzero det (bcs  $3 \neq a^2 + b^2$ ), but  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{Q})$  has elements of determinant 0.  $\rightarrow \leftarrow$

Mat ent's of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  for basis  $\{(1, i), \sqrt{3}(1, -i)\}$ .

**Lem.** Assume

- $\mathfrak{g}$  compact;
- $V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \cong W \oplus W$  (irred);
- $\lambda: \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  highest weight of  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ ; and
- $w \in N_G(\mathfrak{t})$  longest el't of the Weyl group.

Then

$$w^2|_{V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\lambda}} = \begin{cases} \text{Id} & \text{if } V \text{ is reducible;} \\ -\text{Id} & \text{if } V \text{ is irreducible.} \end{cases}$$

Proof only uses the fact that  $\mathfrak{g}$  splits over  $\mathbb{R}[i]$ :

**Lem.** Assume

- $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  splits over  $\mathbb{Q}[i]$ , and  $\mathfrak{g}$  is compact;
- $V_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}[i] \cong U \oplus U$  (irred);
- $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \text{max'l torus of } \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  (split over  $\mathbb{Q}[i]$ );
- $\lambda: \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[i]$  highest weight; and
- $w \in N_G(\mathfrak{t})_{\mathbb{Q}}$  longest el't of the Weyl group.

Then

$$w^2|_{V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\lambda}} = \begin{cases} \text{Id} & \text{if } V_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{ is reducible;} \\ -\text{Id} & \text{if } V_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{ is irreducible.} \end{cases}$$

**Thm** (Raghunathan). Assume

- $\mathfrak{g}$  compact;
- $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}[i]$ -split;
- longest el't of Weyl grp of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is def'd over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Then every  $\mathbb{R}$ -repn of  $\mathfrak{g}$  has a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -form.

*Proof.* Let  $V_{\mathbb{Q}} = \text{irred } \mathbb{Q}\text{-repn of } \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

(We wish to show  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$  is irred.)

$V_{\mathbb{C}}$  is either irred or sum of two irreds

(bcs  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  splits over  $\mathbb{Q}[i]$ ).

*Case 1.*  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$  is irred. Then  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$  is irred.

*Case 2.*  $V_{\mathbb{C}} = \text{sum of two isomorphic irreds.}$

We use the two lemmas:

$V_{\mathbb{Q}}$  irred

$$\Rightarrow w^2|_{V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\lambda}} = -\text{Id}$$

$$\Rightarrow V_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ is irreducible.}$$

*Case 3.*  $V_{\mathbb{C}} = \text{sum of two different irreds.}$

Let  $\mathcal{C} = \text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V)$ .

This case:  $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}}(V_{\mathbb{Q}[i]}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[i] \oplus \mathbb{Q}[i]$ .

Thus,  $\mathcal{C} = \text{div alg, s.t. } \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathbb{Q}[i] \cong \mathbb{Q}[i] \oplus \mathbb{Q}[i]$ .

- $\mathbb{Q}[i] \oplus \mathbb{Q}[i]$  commutative  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is a field.
- $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}[i]}(\mathbb{Q}[i] \oplus \mathbb{Q}[i]) = 2 \Rightarrow \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{C} = 2$ .
- $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathbb{Q}[i] = \mathcal{C}[\sqrt{-1}]$  not field,  $\Rightarrow i \in \mathcal{C}$ .

Therefore  $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathbb{Q}[i]$ .

So

$$\text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V_{\mathbb{R}}) \cong \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{Q}[i] \otimes \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{C}$$

is a field.

Schur's Lemma:  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$  is irreducible.  $\square$

*Proof of Raghunathan's Lemma* ( $w^2|_{V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\lambda}} = \pm \text{Id}$ ).

*Step 1.*  $\mathcal{C} = \text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V)$  4-dim'l over  $\mathbb{R}$ , and

$V$  is irred  $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is a div alg.

$\text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathcal{C})$  is 4D + Schur's Lemma.

*Step 2.*  $V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\lambda} + V_{\mathbb{C}}^{-\lambda}$  is a 4D subspace, def'd over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Eigenvals of  $\mathfrak{t}$  purely imag  $\Rightarrow \overline{\lambda(t)} = -\lambda(t)$ .

So  $V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\lambda} + V_{\mathbb{C}}^{-\lambda}$  is defined over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

$V_{\mathbb{C}} = \text{sum of two isomorphic irreds} \Rightarrow 4\text{D}$ .

*Step 3.*  $E = (V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\lambda} + V_{\mathbb{C}}^{-\lambda}) \cap V$  is faithful  $\mathcal{C}$ -module.

$\mathcal{C}$  centralizes  $\mathfrak{g} \Rightarrow \text{weight space is } \mathcal{C}\text{-invariant.}$

$V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\lambda}$  generates  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$  (as  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module)  $\Rightarrow E$  is faithful.

*Step 4.*  $w^2|_{V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\lambda}}$  is either Id or  $-\text{Id}$ .

$$w^2(\Phi^+) = w(\Phi^-) = \Phi^+ \Rightarrow w^2 \in T.$$

$$w(\lambda) = -\lambda \Rightarrow w(\lambda)(t) = 1/(\lambda(t)) \text{ for } t \in T.$$

$$\lambda(w^2) = \frac{1}{w(\lambda)(w^2)} = \frac{1}{\lambda(w^{-1}w^2w)} = \frac{1}{\lambda(w^2)}.$$

Thus,  $\lambda(w^2) = \pm 1$ .

*Step 5.  $E$  is invariant under  $w$  and  $\mathfrak{t}$ , so*

- $\{w\} \cup \mathfrak{t}$  gens a subalg  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(E)$ , and
- $\mathcal{A} \cong \begin{cases} \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R}) & \text{iff } w^2|_{V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\lambda}} = \text{Id} , \\ \mathbb{H} & \text{iff } w^2|_{V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\lambda}} = -\text{Id} . \end{cases}$

Invariance is clear.

Image of  $\mathfrak{t}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $i\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$ , negated by  $w$ .

$$\mathbb{C}[w] \cong \mathbb{H} \text{ or } \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R}).$$

*Step 6.  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(E)$  is (anti)isomorphic to  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

Define  $L, R: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{A})$  by

$$L(a)x = ax \text{ and } R(a)x = xa.$$

Then  $\text{End}_{L(\mathcal{A})}(\mathcal{A}) = R(\mathcal{A})$ ,

so suffices to show  $E \cong L$  (as  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules).

$\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{H}$ :  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} E = 4$

$\Rightarrow E = 1\text{D}$  vector space over  $\mathcal{A}$

$\Rightarrow E \cong L$ .

$\mathcal{A} \cong \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ : unique nontriv (2D) irred

$\Rightarrow E \cong L$  (same dim, no trivial submods).

*Step 7. The algebra  $\mathcal{C}$  is (anti)isomorphic to  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

$E$  faithful  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{C}|_E$ .

Defn of  $\mathcal{C} \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}|_E \subset \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(E) \cong^* \mathcal{A}$ .

$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{C}|_E = 4 = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}|_E \cong \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{A}$ .

*Step 8. Completion of proof.*

Combine Steps 1, 7, and 5:

$V$  is irred  $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is a div alg

$\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a div alg

$\Leftrightarrow w^2|_{V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\lambda}} = -\text{Id}. \quad \square$

## References

The theorem in Section 3 of  
M. S. Raghunathan,  
Arithmetic lattices in semisimple groups,  
*Proc Indian Acad Sci (Math Sci)*  
91, no 2, July 1982, 133–138.