

**Orbits of Cartan subgroups
on homogeneous spaces**

(after George Tomanov and Barak Weiss)

Dave Witte Morris

*Department of Mathematics
Oklahoma State University
Stillwater, OK 74078*

$$G = \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$$

= (\mathbb{R} -pts of) Zar conn, reductive \mathbb{Q} -group

$$\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$$

= arithmetic subgroup of G

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} * & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix}$$

= Cartan subgroup of G

= maximal \mathbb{R} -split torus in G

A acts on G/Γ : $(a, [g]) \mapsto a[g]$.

$$[g] = g\Gamma \text{ for } g \in G.$$

Ques. Which orbit closures are homogeneous?
(As in Ratner's thm for unipotent subgrps.)
 \exists subgroup $L \supset A$, $\overline{A[g]} = L[g]$?

Today. Which orbits are **closed**.

I.e., when can we take $L = A$?

$$\text{Eg. } G = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \quad \Gamma = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}).$$

A -orbit = geodesic

$A[g]$ is closed

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \text{periodic} & a^\ell [g] = [g], \exists \ell \in \mathbb{R}^+ \\ \text{or} \\ \text{divergent} & a^t [g] \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow \pm\infty \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} g^{-1}Ag \cap \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \text{ is infinite} \\ \text{or} \\ \text{both } \mathbb{H}^2 \text{ endpts of } Ag \text{ are in } \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\} \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} g^{-1}Ag \text{ is defined}/\mathbb{Q} \text{ and } \mathbb{Q}\text{-anisotropic} \\ \text{or} \\ g^{-1}Ag \text{ is defined}/\mathbb{Q} \text{ and } \mathbb{Q}\text{-split} \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow g^{-1}Ag \text{ is defined}/\mathbb{Q}.$$

This generalizes:

Thm (Tomanov and Weiss, 2001).

$$A[g] \text{ is closed} \Leftrightarrow g^{-1}Ag \text{ is defined over } \mathbb{Q}.$$

(More complicated for nonreductive groups.)

Thm. $A[g]$ is closed $\Leftrightarrow g^{-1}Ag$ is defined over \mathbb{Q} .

Proof. (\Leftarrow) General fact:

H any \mathbb{Q} -torus of G

$\Rightarrow H$ -orbit of $[e]$ is closed.

Therefore $(g^{-1}Ag)[e]$ is closed, so

$$A[g] = g(g^{-1}Ag)[e] \text{ is closed. } \square$$

We only need to prove (\Rightarrow).

Special case:

Defn. Orbit of $[g]$ *divergent*: $a[g] \rightarrow \infty$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$.

\forall cpct $K \subset G/\Gamma$, \exists cpct $C \subset A$,

$$a \notin C \Rightarrow a[g] \notin K.$$

Rem. Every divergent orbit is closed.

($a \mapsto a[g]$ is proper map, so closed \rightarrow closed.)

Cor. Orbit of $[g]$ is divergent $\Leftrightarrow g^{-1}Ag$ is \mathbb{Q} -split.

Thm. $A[g]$ is closed $\Leftrightarrow g^{-1}Ag$ is defined over \mathbb{Q} .

Cor. Orbit of $[g]$ is divergent $\Leftrightarrow g^{-1}Ag$ is \mathbb{Q} -split.

Proof of Thm from Cor. Let $T = g^{-1}Ag$.

T -orbit of $[e]$ is closed.

So $T[e] \cong T/(T \cap \Gamma)$.

$R =$ Zariski closure of $T \cap \Gamma$
 $= \mathbb{Q}$ -anisotropic torus $\subset T$.

So $R/(T \cap \Gamma)$ is compact.

Let $\overline{G} = C_G(R)/R$ and $\overline{T} = T/R$.

- \overline{G} is a connected, reductive \mathbb{Q} -group and
- \overline{T} is a Cartan subgroup.

\overline{T} -orbit of $[e]$ is closed, with no stabilizer
 \Rightarrow divergent.

Cor tells us \overline{T} is \mathbb{Q} -split.

In particular, it is defined over \mathbb{Q} in \overline{G} .

Pull back to G : conclude T is defined over \mathbb{Q} . \square

Cor. Orbit of $[g]$ is divergent $\Leftrightarrow g^{-1}Ag$ is \mathbb{Q} -split.

We give a direct proof.

Special case (Margulis).

Assume $G = \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$, $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$.

Lem (Mahler Compactness Criterion).

Orbit of $[g]$ is **not** divergent \Leftrightarrow

\exists neigh Ω_0 of 0 in \mathbb{R}^n ,

\forall cpct $C \subset A$,

$\exists a \notin C$,

$ag\mathbb{Z}^n \cap \Omega_0 = \{0\}$.

An idea from Kazhdan-Margulis:

Lem. \exists neigh Ω of 0, finite $F \subset A$, $c > 1$,

$\forall g \in G$, $\exists f \in F$,

$\forall v \in g\mathbb{Z}^n \cap \Omega$,

$\|fv\| \geq c\|v\|$.

Proof. Easy: \forall subspace $V \subsetneq \mathbb{R}^n$, $\exists f \in A$, s.t.

$\|fv\| \geq c\|v\|$ for all $v \in V$.

Compactness of Grassman variety

$\Rightarrow \exists$ finitely many f 's to work for all V .

g unimodular \Rightarrow (span of $(g\mathbb{Z}^n \cap \Omega)$) $\neq \mathbb{R}^n$. \square

Lem. $\forall g \in G$, s.t. $g \notin AG_{\mathbb{Q}}$, ($G_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$)

\forall cpct $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, \forall cpct $C \subset A$,

$\exists a \in A \setminus C$, $\forall v \in g\mathbb{Z}^n \setminus 0$,

$v \in \Omega_1 \Rightarrow av \notin \Omega_2$.

Proof. Assumption on g :

some coord axis does not intersect $g\mathbb{Z}^n \setminus 0$.

Say $g\mathbb{Z}^n \cap \{(0, 0, \dots, 0, *)\} = \{0\}$.

Let $a^t = \begin{bmatrix} e^t & & & & \\ & e^t & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & e^t & \\ & & & & e^{-(n-1)t} \end{bmatrix}$.

Then $a^t v \rightarrow \infty$ for all nonzero $v \in g\mathbb{Z}^n$.

So a large element of A takes the finitely many nonzero elements of $g\mathbb{Z}^n \cap \Omega$ far away. \square

Cor. Orbit of $[g]$ is divergent $\Rightarrow g^{-1}Ag$ is \mathbb{Q} -split.

Proof. If $g \in AG_{\mathbb{Q}}$, then $g^{-1}Ag$ is \mathbb{Q} -split
(because A is \mathbb{Q} -split).

Thus, we assume $g \notin AG_{\mathbb{Q}}$
and show that the orbit is not divergent.

Mahler: we want $\Omega_0 \ni 0$, s.t.

$$\forall \text{ cpct } C \subset A, \exists a \notin C, \\ ag\mathbb{Z}^n \cap \Omega_0 = \{0\}.$$

Choose a Kazhdan-Margulis neighborhood Ω ,
with corresponding finite $F \subset A$ and $c > 1$.

$\Omega_0 =$ small ball around 0, s.t. $f^{\pm 1}v \in \Omega_0 \Rightarrow v \in \Omega$.

Given cpct $C \subset A$.

Using 2nd lemma, choose $a_0 \notin C$, s.t.

$$0 \neq v \in g\mathbb{Z}^n, \exists c \in C, cv \in \Omega \\ \Rightarrow a_0v \notin \Omega_0.$$

If $a_0g\mathbb{Z}^n \cap \Omega_0 = \{0\}$, we are done.

Otherwise, $\exists a_1 \in F$ that stretches $a_0g\mathbb{Z}^n \cap \Omega$.

Continue stretching, until

$$a_m \cdots a_1 a_0 g\mathbb{Z}^n \cap \Omega_0 = \{0\}.$$

We are done, unless $a_m \cdots a_1 a_0 \in C$.

Since the intersection $\neq 0$ on the previous step,

$$\exists v \in g\mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}, \text{ s.t. } a_{m-1} \cdots a_1 a_0 v \in \Omega_0.$$

We must have $a_0v \in \Omega_0$ and

$$a_m \cdots a_1 a_0 v \in \Omega.$$

This contradicts the choice of a_0 . \square

For general G , Tomanov and Weiss generalize the three lemmas, using the adjoint representation.

Lem (Mahler Compactness Criterion).

Orbit of $[g]$ is **not** divergent \Leftrightarrow

$$\exists \text{ neigh } \Omega_0 \text{ of } 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

$$\forall \text{ cpct } C \subset A,$$

$$\exists a \notin C,$$

$$ag\mathbb{Z}^n \cap \Omega_0 = \{0\}.$$

Use Fundamental Domain $\cup_q K S_t M q$ to show similar, with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} in place of \mathbb{R}^n :

Lem. Orbit of $[g]$ is **not** divergent \Leftrightarrow

$$\exists \text{ neighborhood } \Omega_0 \text{ of } 0 \text{ in } \mathfrak{g},$$

$$\forall \text{ cpct } C \subset A,$$

$$\exists a \notin C,$$

$$\text{Ad}(ag)\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}} \cap \Omega \not\supset \text{horospherical set.}$$

Defn. Horospherical set: basis of subspace conjugate to Lie algebra of unipotent radical of a maximal parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup.

Lem. \exists neigh Ω of 0, finite $F \subset A$, $c > 1$,

$$\forall g \in G, \exists f \in F, \quad \forall v \in g\mathbb{Z}^n \cap \Omega,$$

$$\|fv\| \geq c\|v\|.$$

Just replace $g\mathbb{Z}^n$ with $(\text{Ad}g)\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Proof. $A \subset P =$ minimal parabolic \mathbb{R} -subgroup.

Kazhdan-Margulis:

Subalgebra gen'd by $((\text{Ad}g)\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}) \cap \Omega$ is unipotent

$$\subset \mathfrak{q}_{\text{unip}}, \exists \text{ minimal parabolic } \mathbb{R}\text{-subgroup } Q.$$

Suffices to show: $\exists w \in W$ (Weyl group), s.t.

$$wQ_{\text{unip}}w^{-1} \cap P^- = e.$$

$$\exists g \in G, Q = g^{-1}Pg.$$

$$\text{Bruhat: } g = bcw \text{ with } b \in P, c \in P^-, w \in W.$$

Then $wQ_{\text{unip}}w^{-1} = wg^{-1}P_{\text{unip}}gw^{-1} = c^{-1}P_{\text{unip}}c$
does not intersect P^- .

(Since $U \cap P^- = e$, and $c \in N_G(P^-)$.)

Now assume G is \mathbb{Q} -simple (wolog).

Lem. $\forall g \in G$, s.t. $g \notin AG_{\mathbb{Q}}$,
 \forall cpct $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, \forall cpct $C \subset A$,
 $\exists a \in A \setminus C$, $\forall v \in g\mathbb{Z}^n \setminus 0$,
 $v \in \Omega_1 \Rightarrow av \notin \Omega_2$.

Let $S \subset A$ be a maximal \mathbb{Q} -split torus of G .
(Maybe have to replace A by a conjugate.)

Lem C. $\forall g \in G$, s.t. $g \notin C_G(S)G_{\mathbb{Q}}$,
and $(g^{-1}Sg) \cap \Gamma$ is finite,
 \forall cpct $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \mathfrak{g}$, $\forall C \subset A$,
 $\exists a \in S \setminus C$,
 \forall horospherical subset H of $(\text{Ad}g)\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}$,
 $H \subset \Omega_1 \Rightarrow (\text{Ada})H \not\subset \Omega_2$.

Rem. Because all maximal \mathbb{Q} -split tori are conjugate under $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ the assumption that $g \notin C_G(S)G_{\mathbb{Q}}$, is equivalent to saying that $g^{-1}Sg$ is not \mathbb{Q} -split.

Proof of Lem C. $A \subset P = \text{min}'l$ parab \mathbb{Q} -subgrp.

For $w \in W_{\mathbb{Q}}$ (Weyl group):
Assume $(\text{Ad}w)\mathfrak{p} \cap (\text{Ad}g)\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}} \supset$ horo subset H^w
(else easy).

Let $Q^w = N_G(\text{span of } H^w)$.

$g^{-1}Q^wg = N_G(\text{span of } (\text{Ad}g^{-1})H^w)$
 $= N_G(\text{span of subset of } \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}})$
 $\Rightarrow g^{-1}Q^wg$ is defined over \mathbb{Q} .

$\mathfrak{q}_{\text{unip}}^w = (\text{span of } H^w) \subset w\mathfrak{p}w^{-1}$,
so $wPw^{-1} \subset Q^w$.

Thus, the lemma implies $g^{-1}Sg$ is defined over \mathbb{Q} .

Since (by assumption) $(g^{-1}Sg) \cap \Gamma$ is finite, we conclude that $g^{-1}Sg$ is \mathbb{Q} -split.

Need way to show $g^{-1}Sg$ is \mathbb{Q} -split:

Lem.

- $g \in G$,
- $A \subset P = \text{minimal parabolic } \mathbb{Q}\text{-subgroup}$,
- $\forall w \in W_{\mathbb{Q}}$, \exists proper $Q^w \supset w^{-1}Pw$
s.t. $g^{-1}Q^wg$ is defined over \mathbb{Q} ,

$\Rightarrow g^{-1}Sg$ is defined over \mathbb{Q} .

Proof. $g^{-1}Q^wg$ def'd over $\mathbb{Q} \Rightarrow \forall \sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q})$,

- $\sigma(Q^w) \supset \sigma(w^{-1}Pw) = w^{-1}Pw$, and
- $g^{-1}Q^wg = \sigma(g^{-1}Q^wg) = \sigma(g^{-1})\sigma(Q^w)\sigma(g)$
 Q^w , $\sigma(Q^w)$ are conj, and contain same Borel,
so $Q^w = \sigma(Q^w)$.

Thus, $\sigma(g)g^{-1} \in \cap_{w \in W} Q^w = C_G(S)$.

So $g^{-1}Sg$ is defined over \mathbb{Q} . \square

Lem.

- $P = \text{minimal parabolic } \mathbb{Q}\text{-subgroup of } G$,
 - $S = \text{maximal } \mathbb{Q}\text{-split torus of } P$,
 - $\mathbb{Q}\text{-subgrp } Q^w \supset w^{-1}Pw$, for each $w \in W_{\mathbb{Q}}$,
- $\Rightarrow \cap_{w \in W} Q^w = C_G(S)$.

Proof. Following page: $\cap_{w \in W} Q^w$ is connected.
So consider only the Lie algebra.

Note that this is a sum of root spaces \mathfrak{g}_{α} .

α must be orthogonal to some root in each set Δ of simple \mathbb{Q} -roots.

This is impossible: all roots of same length are conjugate under $W_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Maximal root not orthogonal to any simple root. \square

Lem. Let P_1, \dots, P_n be parabolic subgroups of G .
 If $P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_n$ contains a maximal torus of G , then

- $P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_n$ is connected, and
- \exists unipotent subgroup U of G ,
 normalized by $P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_n$,
 such that $(P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_n)U$ is parabolic.

Prove by induction on n from:

Lem. P and Q parabolic \Rightarrow

- $P \cap Q$ connected, and
- $(P \cap Q)P_{\text{unip}}$ is parabolic.

Proof. Parabs are conn, so suffices to show latter.

$T = \text{max'l}$ torus contained in both P and Q .

For root α , show $(\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{q}) + \mathfrak{p}_{\text{unip}} \supset$ either \mathfrak{g}_α or $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$.

Neither \mathfrak{g}_α nor $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ is in $\mathfrak{p}_{\text{unip}} \Rightarrow$ both are in \mathfrak{p} ,
 and one or the other is in \mathfrak{q} . \square

Cor. Suppose

- P is a minimal parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup,
 - Q is a conjugate of a parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup,
 and
 - $Q_u \subset P$.
- $\Rightarrow P \subset Q$, and Q is defined over \mathbb{Q} .

Proof. $\exists Q' \supset P$, s.t.

- Q' is conjugate to Q , and
- Q' is a \mathbb{Q} -subgroup.

Preceding page: $(P \cap Q)Q_{\text{unip}}$ is parabolic.

Contained in both P and Q ,
 so contained in both Q' and Q .

Therefore $Q' = Q$. \square

References

G. Tomanov and B. Weiss: Closed orbits for actions of maximal tori on homogeneous spaces,
Duke Math. J. (to appear).

[http://www.math.sunysb.edu/
 cgi-bin/preprint.pl?ims01-11](http://www.math.sunysb.edu/cgi-bin/preprint.pl?ims01-11)